IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN

MONDAY, THE 8TH JANUARY 2007 / 18TH PAUSHA 1928

WP(C).No. 682 of 2007(F)

PETITIONER:

M.D.SARASAMMA,AGED 64,
W/O.LATE ABRAHAM MUNDANMALAYIL HOUSE,
MEMURY VILLAGE, MEMURY P.O.

BY ADV. SRI.A.X.VARGHESE
SRI.SAJIV.C.K.
SRI.A.V.JOJO

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE SECRETARY,
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE CHIEF ENGINEER (HRM),
KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. N.K.VALSAMMA,
NARIMATTOM HOUSE, KIZHAKKEKARA,
MUVATTUPUZHA.

BY SC SRI.K.S.ANIL KSEB

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 08/01/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

K.K.DENESAN, J

W.P.(C)NO. 682 of 2007

Dated this the 8th day of January, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner claims that she is the widow of late Sri.Abraham who was employed in the Kerala State Electricity Board. It is contended that she is denied family pension and at the same time such benefits are extended to the third respondent who has no right to claim the benefits. She has filed Ext.P3 representation before the second respondent to redress her grievance. She wants the second respondent to stop the payment of family pension to the third respondent, and instead, to pay the same to her.

2. The second respondent has got a duty to look into Ext.P3 and take appropriate decision.

3. Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Legal Laision Officer for respondents 1 and 2, I am inclined to dispose of the writ petition without issuing notice to the third respondent.

4. The second respondent is directed to consider Ext.P3 and take appropriate decision after issuing notice to the petitioner and the third respondent to put forward their respective claims. This shall be done as expeditiously as possible, in any event, within three months on the

petitioner producing a copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition.

K.K.DENESAN, JUDGE

css/